

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

20350 7590 02/07/2005 EXAMINER	754	
20000		
TOWN IN AND MOVED IN AND CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP PUNNOOSE, ROY M		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER	NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 2877		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		12 11 12 11			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers		10/606,669	MUTSCHLER, REINHOLD		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Roy M. Punnoose	2877		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2004.				
′—					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2004: 10/2004. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/606,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

- 2. As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading.
 - (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
 - (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
 - (c) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
 - (d) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
 - (e) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING(S).
 - (f) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
 - (g) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
 - (h) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- 3. The Specification is objected to because several of the headings listed above are missing.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The Specification is objected to because the incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f). The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference DE 102 26 444 (on page 8, paragraph [0042]) is improper. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Objections

5. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to <u>further limit</u> the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 merely lists a plurality of components and <u>lacks any structural limitation(s)</u> to indicate how the components are related to each other to further limit its parent claim, claim 1. Accordingly, claim 2 has not been given any patentable weight.

- 6. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to <u>further limit</u> the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 3 <u>lacks any structural limitation(s)</u> to <u>further limit</u> its parent claim, claim 1. Accordingly, claim 3 has not been given any patentable weight.
- Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to <u>further limit</u> the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 4 recites a method of making a part and <u>lacks any structural limitation(s)</u> to <u>further limit</u> its parent claim, claim 1. Accordingly, claim 4 has not been given any patentable weight.
- 8. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to <u>further limit</u> the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 5 recites characteristics of a tool that is used for making a

Application/Control Number: 10/606,669 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

part and <u>lacks any structural limitation(s)</u> to further <u>limit</u> its parent claim, claim 1. Accordingly, claim 5 has not been given any patentable weight.

9. Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to <u>further limit</u> the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 14 recites the physical characteristics of a lens and <u>lacks</u> any method step(s) to further limit its parent claim, claim 11. Accordingly, claim 14 has not been given any patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected because it is not clear from the "characterized in that" recitation, how the mechanical shaft and the collimator lens are connected together or related to each other or structured to measure the angle. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 1 and 11 would be allowable if the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection above can be overcome.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,669

Art Unit: 2877

13. Claims 1 and 11 are allowable because prior art references does not disclose an

optoelectronic angle measuring instrument comprising a shaft and a collimator lens formed as a

Page 5

single plastic part, in combination with the rest of the limitations of said claims.

14. Claims 2-10 and 12-14 are objected to because they are dependent on a rejected parent

claim, but would be allowable if the rejections to the parent claim and the objections listed above

can be overcome.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roy M. Punnoose whose telephone number is 571-272-2427.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2059. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy M. Punnoose Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2877

February 03, 2005

Gregory Toatley, J

Supervisory Patent/Ekamine

FEBUS